



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,836	02/27/2002	Jerry Brett Earnest	WELL0020	1745
22862	7590 01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
GLENN PATENT GROUP			KINDRED, ALFORD W	
	N WAY, SUITE L RK, CA 94025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2163	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. 🗸		, ,				
Office Action Summary	10/085,836	EARNEST, JERRY BRETT				
omoo nodan ouninary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Alford W. Kindred	2163				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we really reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 De	<u>ecember 2005</u> .					
—						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE, filed on 12/14/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pollitt, US# 2003/0069803 A1, in view of Brandenberg et al., US# 2005/0043060 A1.

As per claims 1 and 11, Pollitt teaches "storing the plurality of parameter values; responsive to receiving a new information content" (see paragraph [0006] and [0059]-[0064]) "comparing the parameter value representing the received information content . . . indicating that the received information content is identical to a stored information content if the corresponding parameters values are equal" (see paragraph [0062]-[0064]). Pollitt does not explicitly teach et al. teaches "calculating a plurality of parameter values by applying an algorithm that calculates each of a plurality of stored information contents to a predetermined precision, each parametric value representing one of the plurality of stored information contents . . . calculating a parametric value representing the received information content." Brandenberg et al. teaches "calculating a plurality of parameter values by applying an algorithm that calculates each of a plurality of stored information contents to a predetermined precision, each parametric

value representing one of the plurality of stored information contents . . . calculating a parametric value representing the received information content" (see paragraph [0299], [0672] and [0724]). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Brandenberg and Pollitt above, because using the steps of "calculating a plurality of parameter values by applying an algorithm that calculates each of a plurality of stored information contents to a predetermined precision, each parametric value representing one of the plurality of stored information contents . . . calculating a parametric value representing the received information content" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to use specific algorithms in conjunction with the comparing of stored data. This give users the advantage of identifying similar data in a more efficient and faster manner.

As per claim 2, Pollitt et al. teaches "wherein the plurality of information contents include electronic mails" (see paragraph [0039]).

As per claim 3, Pollitt et al. teaches "information content is received through a global communication network" (see paragraph [0002]).

As per claim 4, Pollitt teaches "the global communications network includes the Internet" (see paragraph [0036]).

As per claim 5, Pollitt teaches "wherein each parameter is determined based on an order and a value of each character in the corresponding information content" (see paragraph [0058]-[0060]).

As per claims 6-9, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1-5 and are similarly rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,836 Page 4

Art Unit: 2163

As per claim 10, Pollitt teaches "ASCII value" (see paragraph [0109]-[0110] whereas Pollitt's values includes ASCII code or text).

As per claims 12-14, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1, and 3-4.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2163

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner

Tech Ctr.